



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,909	07/21/2003	Eileen Tozer	564462005300	7087
7590	07/19/2006		[REDACTED]	EXAMINER
Gregory P. Einhorn Morrison & Foerster LLP Suite 500 3811 Valley Centre Drive San Diego, CA 92130			BERTAGNA, ANGELA MARIE	
			[REDACTED]	ART UNIT
				PAPER NUMBER
			1637	
				DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/624,909	TOZER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Angela Bertagna	1637

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 April 2006.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1,14,15,29,33,35,40,43-45,48,49,87,188,189,192-207,217-220 and 225-228 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) 219 and 220 is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 April 2006 and 12 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/21/2003; 10/20/2004; 2/8/2006; 4/18/2006 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 Other: _____.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1,14,15,29,33,35,40,43-45,48,49,51,54,56,58,87,106,107,111,113,116,138,143,174,175,177,182,184,187-190 and 192-228.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims withdrawn from consideration are 42,51,54,56,58,106,107,111,113,116,138,143,174,175,177,182,184,187,190,208-216 and 221-224.

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

1. Claims 2-13, 16-28, 30-32, 34, 36-39, 41, 46-47, 50, 52-53, 55, 57, 59-86, 88-105, 108-110, 112, 114-115, 117-137, 139-142, 144-173, 176, 178-181, 183, 185-186, and 191 have been cancelled. Claims 1, 14-15, 29, 33, 35, 40, 42-45, 48-49, 51, 54, 56, 58, 87, 106-107, 111, 113, 116, 138, 143, 174-175, 177, 182, 184, 187-190, and 192-228 are pending. Claims 193-228 are new.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1, 14, 15, 29, 33, 35, 40, 43-45, 48-49, 87, 188, 189, and 192, and SEQ ID No: 29 in the reply filed on April 18, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that Groups III, IV, and XI should be examined with Group I, because these claims, drawn to transgenic nonhuman animals (Group III), transgenic plants and seeds (Group IV), and computer readable media (Group XI), all further comprise the elected SEQ ID No: 29, and therefore a search for SEQ ID No: 29 would necessarily encompass Groups III, IV, and XI. In other words, an undue burden would not be presented by examination of these additional groups with Group I. This is not found persuasive, because the search for a transgenic plant or animal comprising SEQ ID No: 29 requires much more than simply a search for the elected sequence – the only requirement for a search of Group I. The search for transgenic organisms further requires search and examination in the non-overlapping transgenic art, not only for SEQ ID No: 29, but also for evidence of its stable incorporation into an animal, plant or seed. This additional search requirement constitutes a significant examination burden. Also, Group XI, claims 101 and 105, cannot be rejoined with

Art Unit: 1637

Group I, because these claims were canceled in the reply filed April 18, 2006. Claims 1, 14-15, 29, 33, 35, 40, 43-45, 48-49, 87, 188-189, 192-207, 217-220, and 225-228 will be examined.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 42, 51, 54, 56, 58, 106-107, 111, 113, 116, 138, 143, 174-175, 177, 182, 184, 187, 190, 208-216, and 221-224 and are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on April 18, 2006.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Interpretation

3. Claims 1, 14-15, 29, 33, 35, 40, 43-45, 48-49, 87, 188-189, 192-207, 217-220, and 225-228 recite the phrases “a nucleic acid sequence having” and “a sequence comprising”. This language has been interpreted to mean any sequence (dinucleotide or larger) contained in the instant SEQ ID No. 29, and this interpretation is reflected in the application of the prior art below.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 219 and 220 are objected to because of the following informalities: These claims recite non-elected SEQ ID Nos. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 14-15, 35, 40, 43-45, 48-49, 87, 188, 193-207, 217-220, and 226-228 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

MPEP notes, “An applicant shows possession of the claimed invention by describing the claimed invention with all of its limitations using such descriptive means as words, structures,

figures, diagrams, and formulas that fully set forth the claimed invention. *Lockwood v. American Airlines, Inc.*, 107 F.3d 1565, 1572, 41 USPQ2d 1961, 1966 (Fed. Cir. 1997)."

In the instant case, the independent claim 1 recites "an isolated, synthetic, or recombinant nucleic acid comprising a nucleic acid sequence having at least 50% sequence identity to SEQ ID No: 29 over a region of at least about 100 residues." SEQ ID No: 29 is 687 nucleotides in length. For a nucleotide sequence of even 6 nucleotides, approximately 27^{20} possible sequences exist with 50% identity. Therefore, for the instant SEQ ID No: 29 with 687 nucleotides, the genus of claim 1 (50% identity over 100 nucleotides) includes an enormous number of sequences, with hundreds of thousands of different molecules. This is a very large genus whose members inherently possess different structural and functional properties.

Regarding genus claims, MPEP notes, "For each claim drawn to a genus: The written description requirement for a claimed genus may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction to practice, reduction to drawings, or by disclosure of relevant, identifying characteristics, i.e., structure or other physical and/or chemical properties, by functional characteristics coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between function and structure, or by a combination of such identifying characteristics, sufficient to show the applicant was in possession of the claimed genus. See *Eli Lilly*, 119 F.3d at 1568, 43 USPQ2d at 1406.

"A "representative number of species" means that the species which are adequately described are representative of the entire genus. Thus, when there is substantial variation within the genus, one must describe a sufficient variety of species to reflect the variation within the

genus. The disclosure of only one species encompassed within a genus adequately describes a claim directed to that genus only if the disclosure “indicates that the patentee has invented species sufficient to constitute the gen[us].” See Enzo Biochem, 323 F.3d at 966, 63 USPQ2d at 1615; Noelle v. Lederman, 355 F.3d 1343, 1350, 69 USPQ2d 1508, 1514 (Fed. Cir. 2004) (Fed. Cir. 2004)(“[A] patentee of a biotechnological invention cannot necessarily claim a genus after only describing a limited number of species because there may be unpredictability in the results obtained from species other than those specifically enumerated.”). “A patentee will not be deemed to have invented invention of any species other than the one disclosed.” In re Curtis, 354 F.3d 1347, 1358, 69 USPQ2d 1274, 1282 (Fed. Cir. 2004)”

Applicant discloses SEQ ID No: 29, which encodes a green fluorescent protein.

Applicant further discloses related nucleic acid sequences (for example, SEQ ID Nos: 1-197 (odd SEQ ID Nos: only), but these sequences share a high level of identity (greater than 90%), and therefore do not constitute a representative number of species in the very broad genus outlined above. Furthermore, even within this narrow subgenus, applicant does not demonstrate that all of the members of this subgenus share a common function. The examples on pages 155-159 teach exemplary methods, and the drawings only depict the fluorescence properties of two proteins. Therefore, since applicant only teaches nucleic acid sequences with a very high level of identity to the instant SEQ ID No: 29, with little to no teaching as to their functional properties, and presents no discussion in terms of structural or functional characteristics of sequences with only 50% identity to SEQ ID No: 29, it must be concluded that the requirement to disclose a representative number of species of the broad genus of claim 1 has not been met (see above), and therefore, at the time of filing, applicant did not have possession of the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1, 14, 29, 33, 35, 188-189, and 192-201 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by GenBank Accession No AF401282 (submitted by Lesser et al. August 5, 2001).

Regarding claim 1, GenBank Accession No. AF401282 teaches an isolated nucleic acid sequence comprising at least 50% identity over a region of at least 100 residues to the instant SEQ ID No: 29. See the sequence alignment below, where the instant SEQ ID No: 29 has 70.1% identity to GenBank Accession No. AF401282 over 683 residues.

ALIGN calculates a global alignment of two sequences
version 2.0
Please cite: Myers and Miller, CABIOS (1989) 4:11-17
seq_29 687 nt vs.
gi_15081471_gb_AF401282.1_AF401282 Montastraea fa 683 nt
scoring matrix: DNA, gap penalties: -16/-4
70.1% identity; Global alignment score: 1488

	10	20	30	40	50	60
seq_29	ATGAAGGGGTGAAGGAAGTAATGAAGATCAGTCTGGAGATGGACTGCAC	ATGTTAACGGC				
	:::: : :: : :: :	:: ::::::::::::	:: :::::	:: :::::	:: :::::	:: :::::
gi_15081471_	ATGAGTGTGATAAAACCAGACATGAAGATCAAGCTGCGTATGGAAGGCGCTGTAAACGGG					
	10	20	30	40	50	60
	70	80	90	100	110	120

Art Unit: 1637

Art Unit: 1637

Regarding claim 14, the nucleic acid sequence taught by GenBank Accession No.

AF401282 encodes a green fluorescent protein (see definition).

Regarding claim 29, GenBank Accession No. AF401282 comprises a sequence that is completely complementary to a sequence shown in SEQ ID No: 29 (see for example, the first three nucleotides of the GenBank sequence “ATG” which are completely complementary to nucleotides 126-128 “TAC” of SEQ ID No: 29 (see above alignment). Note that the phrase “a sequence” has been interpreted to include dinucleotides and larger sequences, and therefore, the GenBank sequence anticipates the instant claim.

Regarding claims 33 and 35, GenBank Accession No. AF401282 teaches a probe comprising at least 10 consecutive bases of a sequence as set forth in SEQ ID No: 29 (see for example, nucleotides 22-31 (ATGAAGATCA) of GenBank Accession No. AF401282 in the above alignment). This was determined by visual inspection.

Regarding claims 188 and 189, GenBank Accession No. AF401282 teaches an isolated nucleic acid sequence encoding a fluorescent protein (see definition) and having at least about 50% identity to SEQ ID No: 29 (see alignment above, where the sequences are 70% identical over 683 nucleotides). As discussed above “a sequence” encompasses dinucleotides or larger, and therefore, GenBank Accession No. AF384683 comprises a sequence as set forth in SEQ ID NO. 29 (for example, the first three nucleotides “ATG”).

Regarding claim 192, the sequence recited in GenBank Accession No. AF401282 encodes a fluorescent protein (see definition) and also has a sequence comprising a combination of segments whose overhangs as described in Figure 15 can anneal to each other. Specifically, the GenBank sequence comprises segments with overhangs that can anneal to each other such as GGA which is the “start” overhang in the segment defined by nucleotides 42-44 and the “stop” overhang in the segment defined by nucleotides 98-100 “CCT” (see alignment above).

Regarding claims 193-197, the alignment above between GenBank Accession No. AF401282 and the instant SEQ ID No: 29 displays 70% identity over 683 nucleotides.

Regarding claims 198-201, the alignment between GenBank Accession No. AF401282 and the instant SEQ ID No: 29 displays 70% identity over 683 nucleotides (see alignment above).

8. Claims 1, 15, 29, 33, 35, 40, 43-45, 48-49, 87, 188-189, 192-200, and 225-228 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lukyanov et al. (WO 01/27150 A2; cited in IDS).

Art Unit: 1637

Regarding claim 1, Lukyanov teaches an isolated nucleic acid sequence (SEQ ID No: 9) comprising at least 50% identity over a region of at least 100 residues to the instant SEQ ID No: 29. See the sequence alignment below, where the instant SEQ ID No: 29 has 60% identity to SEQ ID No: 9 of Lukyanov over 600 nucleotides.

ALIGN calculates a global alignment of two sequences
version 2.0
Please cite: Myers and Miller, CABIOS (1989) 4:11-17
wipo_seq_9 600 nt vs.
seq_29 610 nt
scoring matrix: DNA, gap penalties: -16/-4
60.0% identity; Global alignment score: 747

	10	20	30	40	50	60
wipo_seq_9	TCAAGGAAGAAATGTTGATCGATCTTCATCTGGAAAGAACGTTCAATGGGCACTACTTG					
	:	::	::	::	::	::
seq_29	TGAAGGAAGTAATGAAGATCAGTCTGGAGATGGACTGCACGTAAACGGCGACAAATTAA					
	10	20	30	40	50	60
	70	80	90	100	110	
wipo_seq_9	AAATAAAAGGCAAAGGAAAAGGGAAGCCTAATGAAGGCACCAATACCGT-CACGCTCGAG					
	:	::	::	::	::	::
seq_29	AGATCACTGGGGATGGAACAGGAGAACCTTACGAAGGAACACAGACTTACATCTTACAG					
	70	80	90	100	110	120
	120	130	140	150	160	170
wipo_seq_9	GTTACCAAGGGTGGACCTCTGCCATTGGTTGGCATATTGTGCCACAATTCAGTAT					
	:	::	::	::	::	::
seq_29	AGAAGGAAGGCAAG-CCTCTGACGTTCTTCGATGTATTGACACCAGATTTCAGTAT					
	130	140	150	160	170	
	180	190	200	210	220	230
wipo_seq_9	GGAAACAAGGCATTGTCCACCAACCTGACGACATACTGATTATCTAAAGCTGTCA-TT					
	::	::	::	::	::	::
seq_29	GGAAACCGTACATTACCAAATACCCAGGCAATATACCAAGACTTTCAAGCAGACCGTT					
	180	190	200	210	220	230
	240	250	260	270	280	290
wipo_seq_9	TCCGGAAG-GGATATAACATGGGAACGGTCCATGCACTTGAAGACGGTGGCTTGTGTTGT					
	::	::	::	::	::	::
seq_29	TCTGGTGGCGGGTACCTGGGAGCGAAAATGACTTATGAGGACGGGGCATAAGTAAC					

Art Unit: 1637

Regarding claim 15, the nucleic acid sequence taught by Lukyanov encodes a cyan fluorescent protein (see Table 1, page 29, where the emission maximum of SEQ ID No: 9

(dsFP483 is reported to be 483 nm. This value is within the emission range for cyan fluorescent proteins).

Regarding claim 29, Lukyanov teaches a sequence that is hybridizes to a sequence completely complementary to a sequence shown in SEQ ID No: 29 (see, for example, nucleotides 3-9 (AAGGAAG) of SEQ ID No: 9 which hybridize to a sequence completely complementary to nucleotides 3-9 (AAGGAAG) fill in of SEQ ID No: 29). Note that the phrase “a sequence” has been interpreted to include dinucleotides and larger sequences, and therefore, the Lukyanov sequence anticipates the instant claim.

Regarding claims 33 and 35, Lukyanov teaches a probe comprising at least 10 consecutive bases of a sequence as set forth in SEQ ID No: 29 (see for example, nucleotides 170-179 in the above alignment: ATTTCAGTAT). This was determined by visual inspection.

Regarding claim 40, Lukyanov teaches an amplification primer pair (see page 12, line 32-page 13, line 4) for amplifying a nucleic acid sequence encoding a polypeptide with fluorescent activity (SEQ ID No: 9 of Lukyanov), where the primer pair is capable of amplifying a nucleic acid comprising a sequence with at least 50% identity to the instant SEQ ID No: 29 (the alignment between SEQ ID No: 9 of Lukaynov & the instant SEQ ID No: 29 is presented above).

Regarding claim 43, Lukaynov teaches an expression cassette comprising the nucleic acid of claim 1 (page 10, lines 12-13).

Regarding claim 44, Lukaynov teaches a vector comprising the nucleic acid of claim 1 (page 10, lines 12-17).

Regarding claim 45, Lukaynov teaches that the vector may be a plasmid, phage, or cosmid (page 2, lines 35-36). Lukaynov also teaches the use of viral vectors, phagemids,

Art Unit: 1637

fossmids, bacteriophages, and artificial chromosomes (see page 11, and the cited references therein).

Regarding claims 48 and 49, Lukaynov teaches a transformed cell comprising a vector where the vector comprises a nucleic acid of claim 1 (page 10, line 12 – page 11, line 36).

Regarding claim 87, Lukaynov teaches an array comprising the immobilized nucleic acid of claim 1 (page 13, lines 5-14).

Regarding claims 188 and 189, Lukaynov teaches an isolated nucleic acid sequence encoding a fluorescent protein (see above) and having at least about 50% identity to SEQ ID No: 29 (see alignment below, where the sequences are 52% identical over the full-length SEQ ID No: 29). Also, as discussed above “a sequence” encompasses dinucleotides or larger, and therefore, SEQ ID No: 9 of Lukaynov comprises a sequence as set forth in SEQ ID NO. 29.

ALIGN calculates a global alignment of two sequences
version 2.0uPlease cite: Myers and Miller, CABIOS (1989) 4:11-17
seq_29 687 nt vs.
wipo_seq_9 803 nt
scoring matrix: DNA, gap penalties: -16/-4
52.1% identity; Global alignment score: 263

seq_29	ATG-----	10	AAGGGGG
	: :		::: :::
wipo_seq_9	ACGGTCAGGGACACCGGTGACCCACTTGGTATTCTAACAAATGAGTTGGTCCAAGAGTG	10 20 30 40 50 60	
		20 30 40 50 60	
seq_29	TGA---AGGAAGTAATGAAGATCAGTCTGGAGATGGACTGCAGTGTAAACGGCGACAAAT		
	::: ::::::: ::::: ::::: :: : :::: : :: : :: : :: : :: : :: :		
wipo_seq_9	TGATCAAGGAAGAAATGTTGATCGATCTTCATCTGGAAGGAACGTTCAATGGGCACTACT	70 80 90 100 110 120	
		70 80 90 100 110 120	

Art Unit: 1637

seq_29	TTAAGATCACTGGGATGGAACAGGAGAACCTTACGAAGGAACACAGACTTACATCTTA					
wipo_seq_9	TTGAAATAAAAGGCAAAGGAAAAGGAAGCCTAATGAAGGCACCAATACCGT-CACGCTC	130	140	150	160	170
seq_29	CAGAGAAGGAAGGCAAG-CCTCTGACGTTTCTTCGATGTATTGACACCAGCATTTCAG	130	140	150	160	170
wipo_seq_9	GAGGTTACCAAGGGTGGACCTCTGCCATTGGTTGGCATATTTGTGCCACAATTTCAG	180	190	200	210	220
seq_29	TATGAAACCGTACATTACCCAAATACCCAGGAATATACCAAGACTTTCAAGCAGACC	190	200	210	220	230
wipo_seq_9	TATGAAACAAAGGCATTGTCCACCACCTGACGACATAACCTGATTATCTAAAGCTGTCA	240	250	260	270	280
seq_29	GTTCCTGGTGGCGGGTATACTGGGAGCGAAAATGACTTATGAGGACGGGGCATAAGT	250	260	270	280	290
wipo_seq_9	-TTTCCCGAAG-GGATATACATGGAACCGTCCATGCACCTTGAAAGACGGTGGCTTGTGT	300	310	320	330	340
seq_29	AACGTCCGAAGCGACATCAGTGTGAAAGGTGACTCTTCTACTATAAGATTCACTTCACT	310	320	330	340	350
wipo_seq_9	TGTATCACCAATGATATCAGTTGACAGGCAACTGTTCAACTACGACATCAAGTTCACT	360	370	380	390	400
seq_29	GGCGAG---TTTCCTCCTCATGGTCCAGTGATGCAGAGAAAGACAGTAAATGGGAGCCA	370	380	390	400	410
wipo_seq_9	GGCTTGAACTTCCCAAATGGACCCGTTGTGCAGAAGAAGACAACCTGGCTGGAACCG	420	430	440	450	460
seq_29	TCCACTGAAGTAATGTATGTTGACGACAAGAGTGACGGTGTGCTGAAGGGAGATGTCAAC	430	440	450	460	470
wipo_seq_9	AGCACTGAGCGTTGTATCCTC-----GTGATGGCGTGTGATAGGAGACATCCAT	480	490	500	510	520
seq_29	ATGGCTCT---GTTGCTTAAAGATGGCCGCCATTGAGAGTTGACTTAACACTTCTTA	490	500	510	520	530
wipo_seq_9	CATGCTCTCACAGTGGAAAGGAAGGTGGT--TCATTACGTATGTGACATTAA-ACTGTTA	530	540	550	560	570
						580

Art Unit: 1637

	540	550	560	570		580
seq_29	CATACCCAAGAAG-----AAGGT-CGAGAATATGCCT-----GACTACCA-----TTT					
	::	:::::::::::	::: :: :: :	::: :: :	::: :::::	::
wipo_seq_9	CAGGGCCAAGAACGCCGTAAAGATGCCAGGGTATCACTATGTTGAC-ACCAAACCTGGTTA					
	590	600	610	620	630	640
	590	600	610	620	630	
seq_29	TATAGACCACCG-CATTGAGATTCTGGGCA-----ACCCAGAACAGACAAGCC-----GGTC					AA
	::	:: :: :: :: :	:: :::: :	:: :	:: : :: :	:: :: :
wipo_seq_9	TAAGGAGCAACGACAAAGA-ATTCATGAAAGTTGAAGCTTAAGTAAAGCAAAAAGGTGAC					
	650	660	670	680	690	700
	640	650	660	670	680	
seq_29	GCTGTACGA--GTGTGC--TG-TAGCTCGTATTCTCTGCTGCC-TGAGAAGAACAACT-					
	::	:: :: :: :: :	:: :::: :	:: :	:: :: :: :	:: :: :
wipo_seq_9	GAGGCATGATAGTATGACATGATAGTATGACATGATAGTATGACATGATAGTAAGAATTG					
	710	720	730	740	750	760
seq_29						AG
						:
wipo_seq_9	TAAGCAAAAGGCTTGCTTATTAAACTTGTAATTGAAAC					
	770	780	790	800		

Regarding claim 192, the SEQ ID No: 9 of Lukaynov encodes a fluorescent protein (see above) and also has a sequence comprising a combination of segments whose overhangs as described in Figure 15 can anneal to each other. Specifically, SEQ ID No: 9 of Lukaynov comprises segments with overhangs that can anneal to each other such as GGA which is the “start” overhang in the segment defined by nucleotides 32-34 and the “stop” overhang in the segment defined by nucleotides 135-137 “CCT” (see first alignment above with 60% identity).

Regarding claims 193-197, the alignment above between SEQ ID No: 9 of Lukaynov and the instant SEQ ID No: 29 displays 70% identity over 600 nucleotides (see first alignment presented after claim 1).

Regarding claims 198-200, the alignment below between SEQ ID No: 9 of Lukaynov and the instant SEQ ID No: 29 displays 69% identity over 100 residues (see alignment below). Since the independent claim 1 only requires the identity to be present over a minimum of 100 residues, this alignment meets the instant limitations of claims 198-200.

ALIGN calculates a global alignment of two sequences
version 2.0
Please cite: Myers and Miller, CABIOS (1989) 4:11-17
seq_29_100_res_320-420 104 nt vs.
wipo_seq_9_100_res_370-470 107 nt
scoring matrix: DNA, gap penalties: -16/-4
69.2% identity; Global alignment score: 226

	10	20	30	40	50	
seq_29_100_r	GACATCAGTGTGAAAGGTGACTCTTCTACTATAAGATTCACTTCAGCGAG---TTT	:: : ::::: :: : :: : :: : :: : : : ::::: : : ::				
wipo_seq_9_1	GATATCAGTTGACAGGCAACTGTTCAACTACGACATCAAGTTCACTGGCTGAAC	TTT				
	10	20	30	40	50	60
	60	70	80	90	100	
seq_29_100_r	CCTCCTCATGGTCCAGTGATGCAGAGAAAGACAGTAAAATGGGAGCC	::::: :: :: : :: :::::: : :::::: ::::: ::				
wipo_seq_9_1	CCTCCAAATGGACCCGTTGTGCAGAAGACAAGTGGCTGGGAACC					

Regarding claims 225 and 226, Lukaynov teaches a recombinant nucleic acid encoding a fluorescent protein codon-optimized for expression in a host cell where the nucleic acid comprises a sequence set forth in claim 1 (SEQ ID No: 9 of Lukaynov comprises a sequence set forth in claim 1, as discussed above; see page 14, lines 3-5 and also page 36, lines 1-5 for discussion of codon-optimized forms).

Regarding claim 227, Lukaynov further teaches inclusion of a tag or reporter sequence (page 4, lines 10-12) and also the inclusion of epitope tags (page 9, lines 26-34).

Regarding claim 228, Lukaynov teaches labeled probes (page 12, lines 26-28), and further teaches that nucleic acids may be labeled with epitope tags (page 9, lines 26-34).

Art Unit: 1637

9. Claims 1, 14-15, 29, 35, 40, 43-45, 48-49, 188-189, 192, and 198 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsien et al. (USPN 6,140,132).

Regarding claim 1, Tsien teaches an isolated nucleic acid sequence (SEQ ID Nos: 3 and 7) comprising at least 50% identity over a region of at least 100 residues to the instant SEQ ID No: 29. See the sequence alignments below, where the instant SEQ ID No: 29 has 51% identity and 57% identity to SEQ ID Nos: 3 & 7, respectively, of Tsien over 100 nucleotides.

ALIGN calculates a global alignment of two sequences
version 2.0
Please cite: Myers and Miller, CABIOS (1989) 4:11-17
seq_29 107 nt vs.
tsien_seq_3_egfp 86 nt
scoring matrix: DNA, gap penalties: -16/-4
51.4% identity; Global alignment score: -15

	10	20	30	40	50
seq_29	GCCTGACTACCATTATAGACCACCGCATTGAGATTCTGGCAA	---	CCCAGAACAA		
	:::: :::: :::: : : :: :::: :::: : : :::: :::: :: :				
tsien_seq_3_	GCCCCACAACCACTACCT-GAGCACCACTACCT-GAGCACCAACGAGAA				
	10	20	30	40	50
	60	70	80	90	100
seq_29	GC-CGGTCAAGCTGTACGAGTGCTGTAGCTCGCTATTCTCTGCTGCC	TG			
	:: :: :::: :: : :::: :::: :: :				
tsien_seq_3_	GCGCGATCACATGGTCC	-----	TGCTGGAGTTCG	-----	

ALIGN calculates a global alignment of two sequences
version 2.0
Please cite: Myers and Miller, CABIOS (1989) 4:11-17
seq_29 107 nt vs.
tsien_cfp_seq_7 115 nt
scoring matrix: DNA, gap penalties: -16/-4
57.1% identity; Global alignment score: 28

	10	20	30	40	50
seq_29	GCCTGACTACCATTATAGACCACCGCATTGAGATTCTGGCAA	---	CCCAGAACAA		
	:::: :::: :::: : : :: :::: :::: : : :::: :::: :: :				
tsien_cfp_se	GCCCCACAACCACTACCT-GAGCACCACTACCT-GAGCACCAACGAGAA				

Art Unit: 1637

	10	20	30	40	50	
	60	70	80	90	100	
seq_29	GC-CGGTCAAGCTGTAC	-----GAGT	GTG-CTGTAGCTCGCTATTCTCTGCTGCC	TG		
	:: :: :: :	:: :	:: :: :: :	:: :	:: :: :: :	
tsien_cfp_se	GCGCGATCACATGGTCCTGCTGGAGTTCGTGACCGCCGCCGGATCACTCT	-CGGCATG				
	60	70	80	90	100	110

Regarding claim 14, SEQ ID No: 3 of Tsien encodes a green fluorescent protein (see Table 1, column 5).

Regarding claim 15, SEQ ID No: 7 of Tsien encodes a cyan fluorescent protein (see Table 5, column 5).

Regarding claim 29, Tsien teaches a sequence that is hybridizes under stringent conditions to a sequence completely complementary to a sequence shown in SEQ ID No: 29 (see for example, the first three nucleotides (GCC) of SEQ ID No: 3 of Tsien (in the first alignment above) or the first three nucleotides (GCC) of SEQ ID No: 7 of Tsien (the second alignment above). These sequences hybridize to a sequence completely complementary to nucleotides 1-3 (GCC) of SEQ ID No: 29). Note that the phrase "a sequence" has been interpreted to include dinucleotides and larger sequences, and therefore, the Tsien sequence anticipates the instant claim.

Regarding claim 35, Tsien teaches a probe for identifying a nucleic acid encoding a fluorescent polypeptide, where the probe comprises a sequence of claim 1 (see the above alignments of SEQ ID Nos: 3 & 7 of Tsien). These sequences are inherently probes for a nucleic acid encoding fluorescent polypeptide. This was determined by visual inspection.

Regarding claim 40, Tsien teaches an amplification primer pair for amplifying a nucleic acid encoding a polypeptide with fluorescent activity, where the primer pair is capable of amplifying a nucleic acid comprising a sequence of claim 1 (see column 11, lines 41-46).

Regarding claim 43, Tsien teaches an expression cassette comprising the nucleic acid of claim 1 (column 11, line 57 – column 12, line 40).

Regarding claim 44, Tsien teaches a vector comprising the nucleic acid of claim 1 (column 11, line 66 – column 12, line 40).

Regarding claim 45, Tsien teaches that the vector may be a plasmid, phage, cosmid viral vectors, bacteriophages, and artificial chromosomes (column 13, lines 45-63).

Regarding claims 48 and 49, Tsien teaches a transformed cell comprising a vector where the vector comprises a nucleic acid of claim 1 (column 13, lines 45-63).

Regarding claims 188 and 189, Tsien teaches an isolated nucleic acid sequence encoding a fluorescent protein (see above) and having at least about 50% identity to SEQ ID No: 29 (see alignments below, where SEQ ID Nos: 3 & 7 of Tsien are 49% (about 50%) identical over the full-length SEQ ID No: 29). Also, as discussed above “a sequence” encompasses dinucleotides or larger, and therefore, SEQ ID Nos: 3 & 7 of Tsien comprises a sequence as set forth in SEQ ID NO. 29.

ALIGN calculates a global alignment of two sequences
version 2.0
Please cite: Myers and Miller, CABIOS (1989) 4:11-17
seq_29
tsien_seq_3_egfp
scoring matrix: DNA, gap penalties: -16/-4
49.4% identity; Global alignment score: -7

	10	20	30	40
seq_29	ATG-----AAGGGGTGAAG-----	GAAGTAATGAAGATCAGTCTGGAGATGGAC		
	:::	: : ::	: : ::	: :::: :::::

Art Unit: 1637

tsien_seq_3_	ATGGTGACCAAGGGCGAGGAGCTGTTACCGGGGTGGTCCCCATCCTGGTCGAGCTGGAC	10	20	30	40	50	60
		50	60	70	80	90	100
seq_29	TGCACGTAAACGGCGACAAATTAAAGATCACTGGGATGGAACAGGAGAACCTTACGAA
	
tsien_seq_3_	GGCGACGTAAACGGCCACAGGTTCAAGCGTGTCCGGCGAGGGCGAGGGGATGCCACCTAC	70	80	90	100	110	120
		110	120	130	140	150	160
seq_29	GGAACACAGACTTACATCTTACAGAGAAGGAAGGCAAGCCTCTGACGTTTCTTCGAT
	
tsien_seq_3_	GGCAAGCTGACCCTGAAGTTCATCTGCACCAACCGGCAAGCTGCC--CGTGCCTGGCCA	130	140	150	160	170	
		170	180	190	200	210	220
seq_29	GTATTGACACCAGCATTTCAGTATGAAACCGTACATTACCAAATACCCAGGCAATAT-
	
tsien_seq_3_	CCCTCGTGACCACCCCTGACC-TACGGCGTGCAGTGCTCAGCCGTACCCGACCACATG	180	190	200	210	220	230
		230	240	250	260	270	
seq_29	-ACCAAG---ACTTTTCAAGCAGACCGTTCTGGTGGCGGTATACTGGAGCGAAAA
	
tsien_seq_3_	AAGCAGCACGACTTCITCAAGTCCGCATGCCGAAGGC---TACGTCCAGGAGCGCACC	240	250	260	270	280	290
		280	290	300	310	320	330
seq_29	ATGACTTATGAGGACGGGGCATAAAGTAACGTCCGAAGCGACATCAGTGTGAAAGGTGAC
	
tsien_seq_3_	ATCTTCTCAAGGACGACGGCAACTACAAGACCCCGCGCCGAGGTGAACTTCGAGGGCAC	300	310	320	330	340	350
		340	350	360	370	380	390
seq_29	TCTTCTACTATAAGATTCACTTCA---CTGGCGAGTTCTCCTCATGGTCCAGTG---
	
tsien_seq_3_	AC---CCTGGT---GAACCGCATCGAGCTGAAGGGCATCGACTCAAGGAGGACGGCAAC	360	370	380	390	400	
		400	410	420	430	440	
seq_29	ATCCAGAGAAAGAC---AGTAAAATGGGAGCCATCCACTGAAGTAATGTATGTTGAC-
	
tsien_seq_3_	ATCCTGGGGCACAAGCTGGAGTACAACACAGCCAC---AACGTCTATATCATGGCC	410	420	430	440	450	460
		450	460	470	480	490	500
seq_29	GACAAGAGTGACGGTGTGCTGAAGGGAGATGTCAACATGGCTCTGTTGCTAAAGATGGC						

Art Unit: 1637

tsien_seq_3_ : : : :::: : : : :: : : :	GACAAGCAGAAGAACGGCATCAAGGTGAACTTCAAGATCCGCCACAACATCGAGGACGGC				
	470	480	490	500	510	520
seq_29	510	520	530	540	550	560
	CGCCATTGAGAGTTGACTTTAACACTTCTTACATACCCAA-GAAGAAGGTC-GAGAAT					
tsien_seq_3_ : : : :: : : : : : : : : :	AGCGTGAGCTCGCCGACCCTACCCAGCAGAACACCCCCATCGGCACGGCCCCGTGCTG				
	530	540	550	560	570	580
seq_29	570	580	590	600	610	620
	ATGCCTGACTACCATTATAGACCACCGCATTGAGATTCTGGCAA-CCCAGAACAGC					
tsien_seq_3_ : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	CTGCCGACAACCACTACCT-GAGCAC-CAGTCCCC-CTGAGCAAAGACCCAACGAG				
	590	600	610	620	630	640
seq_29	630	640	650	660		
	AAGC-CGGTCAAGCTGTAC---GAGT-GTG-CTGTAGCTCGCTAT---TCTCTGC-T					
tsien_seq_3_ : : : : : : : : : : : : :	AAGCGCGATCACATGGTCCTGCTGGAGTTCTGTGACCCGCC-CGGGATCACTCTCGCAT				
	650	660	670	680	690	700
seq_29	670	680				
	GCCTGAGAAGAACAAAGTAG					
tsien_seq_3_ : : : : : : :	GGACGAGCTGTACAAGTAA				
	710	720				

ALIGN calculates a global alignment of two sequences
version 2.0uPlease cite: Myers and Miller, CABIOS (1989) 4:11-17
seq_29 687 nt vs.
tsien_cfp_seq_7 720 nt
scoring matrix: DNA, gap penalties: -16/-4
49.0% identity; Global alignment score: -11

Art Unit: 1637

seq_29	TGCAC TGT ACG CGA CAA ATT AAG AT CACT GGG AT GGA AC AGG AGA AC CCT ACG AA :: : ::
tsien_cfp_se	GGC GAC GT AA AC CG CC AC AGG TT CAG CG TCC CG CG AG GG CG AG GG CG AT GC CAC CT AC 70 80 90 100 110 120
seq_29	110 120 130 140 150 160 GGA AC AC AGA CACT TAC AT CTT AC AGA AGA AGG CA AGC CT CT GAC GT TT CTT CG AT :: : : :: :
tsien_cfp_se	GGC AAG CT GACC CT GA AG TT CAT CT GC ACC ACC CG CA AG CT GC -- CGT GCC CT GG CCC A 130 140 150 160 170
seq_29	170 180 190 200 210 220 GT ATT GAC ACC AGC AT TT CAG T AT GGA AC CGT AC ATT CAC CAA AT ACC CAG GCA AT AT -- : : : :: :
tsien_cfp_se	CCCT CGT GACC ACC CT GAC CT GG GG CT GC AGT GC -- TTC AG CG CT ACC CG ACC AC AT G 180 190 200 210 220 230
seq_29	230 240 250 260 270 - ACC AG --- ACT TTT TCA AGC AG ACC GTT CT GG TG CG GG TAT AC CT GG AG CG AAAA :
tsien_cfp_se	AAG CAG CAC GACT TCT CA AGT CC GCA T GCCC GA AGG C -- TAC GT CC AGG AG CG C ACC 240 250 260 270 280 290
seq_29	280 290 300 310 320 330 AT GACT TAT GAGG AC GGG GGCATA AG TA AC GT CC GA AG CG AC AT CA GT GT GAA AG GT GAC :
tsien_cfp_se	AT CT TCT CA AGG AC GCG CA ACT AC AAG ACC CG CG CC AG GT GA AG TT CG AG GG CG AC 300 310 320 330 340 350
seq_29	340 350 360 370 380 390 TCT TT CT ACT ATA AGA TT CACT TC -- CT GG CG AG TT CC CT CT CAT GG TC AG T GAT GC :
tsien_cfp_se	AC -- CCT GGT --- GAAC CGC AT CG AG CT GA AG GG CA T CG ACT T CA AG GAGG AC GG CA AC 360 370 380 390 400
seq_29	400 410 420 430 440 AG AGA AAG AC AGT AAA AT GGG AG CC AT CC ACT GA AGT --- AAT GT AT GT T --- GAC GA :
tsien_cfp_se	AT CCT GGG CAC -- AAG CT GG AGT ACA ACT AC AT CAG CC AC AC GT CT AT AT CAC CG CG A 410 420 430 440 450 460
seq_29	450 460 470 480 490 500 CAAG AGT GAC GGT GT GCT GA AG GG AG AT GT CA AC AT GG CT CT GT GCT TAA AG AT GG CG :
tsien_cfp_se	CAAG CAG AAG AAG CGC AT CA AGG CCC ACT T CA AG AT CC G CC AC AC AT CG AGG AC GG C AG 470 480 490 500 510 520

Art Unit: 1637

	510	520	530	540	550	560	
seq_29	CCATTGAGAGTTGACTTTAACACTTCTTACATACCAA-GAAGAAGGTC--GAGAATAT						
	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
tsien_cfp_se	CGTGCAGCTGCCGACCACTACCAGCAGAACACCCCCATCGGCACGGCCCCGTGCTGCT						
	530	540	550	560	570	580	
	570	580	590	600	610	620	
seq_29	GCCTGACTACCATTATAGACCACCGCATTGAGATTCTGGGCAA---CCCAGAACAA						
	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
tsien_cfp_se	GCCCGACAACCACTACCT-GAGCACC-CAGTCGCC-CTGAGCAAAGACCCAACGAGAA						
	590	600	610	620	630	640	
	630	640	650	660	670		
seq_29	GC-CGGTCAAGCTGTAC----GAGT--GTG-CTGTAGCTCGCTAT---TCTCTGC-TGC						
	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
tsien_cfp_se	GCGCGATCACATGGCTCTGCTGGAGTTCGTGACCGCCGC-CGGGATCACTCTCGGCATGG						
	650	660	670	680	690	700	
	680						
seq_29	CTGAGAAGAACAAAGTAG						
	:	:	:	:	:	:	
tsien_cfp_se	ACGAGCTGTACAAGTAA						

Regarding claim 192, SEQ ID Nos: 3 and 7 of Tsien encode fluorescent proteins (see above) and also have a sequence comprising a combination of segments whose overhangs as described in Figure 15 can anneal to each other. Specifically, SEQ ID Nos: 3 & 7 of Tsien comprises segments with overhangs that can anneal to each other such as GGA which is the “start” overhang in the segment defined by nucleotides 18-20 and the “stop” overhang in the segment defined by nucleotides 116-118 “CCT” (see the alignments above with 49% identity).

Regarding claim 198, the alignment between SEQ ID No: 7 of Tsien and the instant SEQ ID No: 29 displays 57% identity over 100 residues (see alignment appearing after claim 1). Since the independent claim 1 only requires the identity to be present over a minimum of 100 residues, this alignment meets the instant limitations of claim 198.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

11. Claims 217 and 218 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lukaynov et al. (WO 01/27150; cited above) in view of Short (WO 00/77262 A1; published December 21, 2000).

Lukaynov teaches a nucleic acid sequence (SEQ ID No: 9) of claim 1, as discussed in greater detail above.

Lukaynov teaches that the nucleic acid may be obtained using non-stochastic site-directed mutagenesis methods (page 13, line 15 – page 14, line 2), but does not teach generation of the recombinant nucleic acid by synthetic ligation reassembly.

Regarding claim 218, Lukaynov teaches expression of recombinant proteins (page 13, line 15 – page 14, line 2).

Short teaches a directed evolution method for evolving nucleic acids encoding novel or improved proteins (see abstract).

Regarding claim 217, Short teaches that standard non-stochastic mutagenesis methods are limited, because only a small number of new, variant products are generated with each application of the method and the types of mutations possible are also limited (see page 4, lines 15-20). Short teaches that synthetic ligation reassembly represents an improvement over these standard non-stochastic site-directed mutagenesis methods, because: (1) it generates a larger number of products with predetermined (non-random) structures with each application; (2) it readily generates more types of mutant polynucleotides, thereby generating a resulting group of mutant products with greater diversity; (3) background resulting from undesired products is decreased; (4) saturation or exhaustive mutagenesis is possible; and (5) the products are produced in a systematic, predetermined fashion (see page 5, lines 1-10).

It would have been *prima facie* obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to utilize the synthetic ligation reassembly method taught by Short to generate recombinant versions of the nucleic acids of Lukaynov. Lukaynov expressly taught production of recombinant polynucleotides using site-directed mutagenesis techniques in order to obtain polynucleotides encoding proteins with improved properties (see page 13, lines 13-31). Since Short taught that synthetic ligation reassembly offered distinct advantages over the conventional methods suggested by Lukaynov, namely the ability to more efficiently and accurately generate a larger number of different, more diverse product sequences (see above), the ordinary practitioner

Art Unit: 1637

would have been motivated to utilize this method in order to obtain a faster, simpler method of generating a large variety of mutant polynucleotides.

Conclusion

No claims are currently allowable. Claims 202-207, 219, and 220 are free of the art, but have been rejected for other reasons, as noted above.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. GenBank Accession No. AF384683 (submitted by Lesser et al., Aug. 27, 2001) teaches a sequence highly homologous to the applied GenBank Accession No. AF401282.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela Bertagna whose telephone number is (571) 272-8291. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (571) 272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Angela Bertagna
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1637

amb


JEFFREY FREDMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

7/14/08